



Name: **Angie Taylor**

Telephone Number: 775-771-1530

Email address / Website address: Angie4Assembly@gmail.com;
www.Angie4Assembly.com

Current Occupation/Position: Leadership and Diversity Trainer, Small Business Owner

Candidate for: Assembly District 26

Campaign Manager: Olivia Gobert-Hicks

Political Party Affiliation: Democrat

Previous Elected/Appointed Positions: None

Do you have any Building experience or Builders participating in your campaign? I have no building experience but I do have two advisors from the building community.

What endorsements have you received for your candidacy? Professional Fire Fighters of Nevada

1. What are your thoughts concerning mandatory fire sprinklers in all new construction?

As important and effective as sprinklers have proven to be, I do not support mandating that they be included in all new construction. For example, "All" would include single-family dwellings and I am not supportive of that requirement.

2. What are your main concerns or issues you hope to address during your term?

There are three primary issues that I've selected as my platform: Jobs/Small Business Development; Education; and Renewable Energy.

Jobs/Small Business Development – Maintain a business friendly tax structure; work to make more loan funds available for small businesses; maximize Nevada's renewable energy potential to stimulate job creation.

Education – Get more flexibility for school district staff in terms of the reallocation of funds, class sizes, and teacher evaluations. Reevaluate "how" we do education to improve results without necessarily increasing expenses.

Renewable Energy – Nevada is uniquely positioned to be first and best in this area. I support maximizing this potential as it will create good paying jobs, develop a source of revenue for the State and help the environment.

3. Last session the adoption of AB 148 forced all construction workers to attend a 10 or 30 hour OSHA course, if elected, would you support a repeal of this law?

I would strongly consider supporting a repeal. I would first want to see the data that indicates the impact of such a law. Did it do what it was intended to do? Is the intention behind the law something that justifies the enactment of the law? Is there another way for the same results to be obtained that would be less intrusive?

4. What are your thoughts on how Chapter 40 construction defect law can be improved?

My understanding is the amendments to Chapter 40 were considered in the last legislative session. And although passed in the Senate it did not make it past the joint committee. Since Nevada's construction defect law is reportedly one of the more stringent, there are undoubtedly areas that should be considered again. In my research, one of these areas that stood out the most is the requirement that claimant attorney's inform their client of the current law along with the parameters surrounding the law. And if this is not done, the suit will be dismissed. There are other areas that I believe can be called into question but I would like

further review and conversation with the industry before moving forward on necessary improvements to Chapter 40 and their potential impact.

5. Do you support new taxes or fees on the development of land?

Generally speaking, I am opposed to any new taxes or fees.

6. Are you in favor of incentivizing or mandating Green Building?

I am in favor of incentivizing green building with incentives that would be meaningful enough for developers and builders to choose this method of building.